RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Rapid and high throughput regeneration in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) from embryo explants

Shiva Shahi<sup>1</sup>, Ali Izadi-Darbandi<sup>1\*</sup>, Hossein Ramshini<sup>1</sup>, Mehdi Younessi-hamzekhanlu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding Sciences, College of Aburaihan, University of Tehran, Iran <sup>2</sup>Ahar Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Tabriz, Ahar, Iran

**ABSTRACT:** Callus induction and regeneration of fennel from embryo explants were stabilized in the presence of cefotaxime antibiotic and different plant growth regulators (PGRs). The experiments were conducted under a factorial experiment, based on a completely randomized design (CRD). Genotypes; Fasa, Meshkinshar and Hajiabad were applied under different concentration of cefotaxime (0 and 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup>), NAA (0 and 0.2 mg l<sup>-1</sup>), IAA (0 and 0.4 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) and BAP (0, 0.5 and 1mg l<sup>-1</sup>). Regeneration, proliferations and root induction were taken placed on studied media, after 35 days without sub-culturing. The highest rate of proliferation with 200 shoots per explant was observed on B5 medium, containing 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> cefotaxime that can be related to auxin like activity of cefotaxime in fennel tissue culture.

KEYWORDS: Fennel, Cefotaxime, PGRs, Embryo, Proliferation

# INTRODUCTION

Fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill.), a member of the umbelliferae family, native to Mediterranean region [18], is one of the oldest and traditionally most significant medicinal aromatic plants [25, 30, 45]. Fennel is a wellknown culinary herb and vegetable [1, 4, 35]. Recent studies have documented the widespread use of this plant as a treatment due to its some features like its antispasmodic and hepatoprotective effects on both humans and animals [25, 45]. Increased demand and international consumption of fennel in food and pharmaceutical products call for our attention to this plant [15]. Despite of wide range of its use, there are various conditions that can limit its growth. Drought and salinity are major abiotic stresses, affecting growth, essential oil, and the total crop production of fennel [18, 30]. Besides,

some biotic stresses containing larvae (*Systole albipennis*), several Lepidoptera, aphids, and fungi, can cause serious damage to fennel crop [22]. Conventional breeding methods have certain limitations for genetic improvement of this crop due to low efficiency and also they are time consuming [22, 37]. Gene transformation is a major area of interest within the field of plant breeding as it is more efficient and faster than traditional breeding strategies. Plant regeneration during gene transformation is the inevitable stage which is not well studied in fennel. Plant tissue culture technique with high frequency of plant regeneration and proliferation is now widely used for genetic manipulation and crop propagation [7, 13, 33, 47]. In the past three decades, there has been an increasing interest in developing a regeneration protocol from callus

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author (🖂):aizady@ut.ac.ir

Received: 14 Jan. 2018 / Revised: 11 May 2018

Accepted: 17 Jun. 2018

in fennel. Several studies have discovered that fennel has an innate tendency to micro-propagate, regenerate from callus and suspension cultures [21-23], and regenerate through somatic embryogenesis [4, 24]. However, some of these techniques have shown low success in regeneration, which is not useful for gene transformation. To date, little or no attention has been paid to the use of combination of hormones and antibiotics for fennel propagation and transformation. Recently, researchers have shown that some antibiotics, such as cefotaxime, can improve regeneration in some plants [29, 48]. Cefotaxime, a cephalosporin antibiotic with low toxicity on eukaryotes and effective at low doses, is the best selective agent in plant tissue culture and gene transformation [11, 40]. A number of studies have found that besides its function in the elimination of microbial contaminations and auxin-like activity [34], cefotaxime enhances plant regeneration through improving somatic embryogenesis [11, 32], microspore embryogenesis [2], protoplast culture [19], and stimulating shoot regeneration [9] alike. However, cefotaxime can have an inhibitory effect on plant regeneration [34] and reduces the production of somatic embryo in some plants [39, 42]. Despite of many reports on fennel regeneration, there are no experiment on the regeneration of our selected genotypes by using their embryo as explant and combining of plant hormones with cefotaxime. Therefore, this results will be very applied for tissue culture and metabolic manipulation of fennel.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant material and explant preparation

Three fennel ecotypes were selected from the gene bank of College of Aburaihan, University of Tehran, with different growth habits including Fasa (early-maturity), Meshkinshahr (middle-maturity), and Haji Abad (latematurity). These genotypes are superior genotypes in seed yield and the essential oil as they were selected from 50 different genotypes in previous studies [5]. In order to prepare explants, first, seeds were surface-sterilized for 20 s in 70% ethyl alcohol, then for 10 min in 2.5% sodium hypochlorite. Seeds were washed 3-4 times with sterile distilled water after each step. They were then soaked for 3-6 hours in sterile distilled water and embryos obtained by cutting the end and pressing the middle of the turgid seeds (Fig. 1A). cotyledons were removed from around the embryo explant [13, 26, 43].

# PGRs and cefotaxime combinations for callus induction and plant regeneration

B5 medium [16] containing full strength of macro- and micro-elements, vitamins, and sucrose (30 g l <sup>-1</sup>), including BAP (0, 0.5, 1.0 mg l<sup>-1</sup>), NAA (0, 0.2 mg l<sup>-1</sup>), IAA (0, 0.4 mg l <sup>-1</sup>) and cefotaxime (0, 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup>), were used in a factorial experiment for callus induction and regeneration of desired genotypes. We applied a wide levels for each factors but only reported those concentrations that produced regenerated plants in or experiments. Embryo explants were cultured in Petri dishes each containing 25 ml of the medium and incubated at 25 ±2 °C under 16/8-h light/dark conditions. Cefotaxime was sterilized by passing through sterile filter membrane (0.2 µm), and added into the media after they were autoclaved and cooled rarely.

# Shoot development, rooting of plantlets and hardening

Both shoot elongation and rooting were accomplished on the same culture medium, with no sub culturing. After 2-3 weeks, a long thick-white root appeared. Indirect regeneration and proliferation were the main traits that were examined in this experiment. Plantlets with welldeveloped roots were transplanted into pots containing perlite and water covered with plastic lids. Then they were acclimatized gradually by slow removal of the lids over a week. Finally, after one month, Plantlets were transplanted in to pots containing perlite and water and then to soil for hardening (Fig. 1 F).

# **Data Recording and Statistical analysis**

Data of callus frequency and shoot regeneration were calculated by dividing the number of calli and shoots by the original number of cultured explants, respectively. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS and Excel software. The data were analyzed by ANOVA, and treatment means were compared with Duncan's multiple-range test. Significant differences between treatments (different PGR and Cefotaxime concentration effect on regeneration and proliferation of embryo explants of different genotypes) were determined based on Duncan's multiple range test at p<0.05.

### RESULTS

Analysis of variance for the effect of different PGRs, Cefotaxime concentration and genotypes on direct regeneration and proliferation of fennel embryo cultures showed that different PGRs and Cefotaxime concentration displayed significant effect on regeneration and proliferation of embryo explants from different genotypes. However, there was no difference between the genotypes in response to in vitro culture (Table 1). In the absence of cefotaxime we observed callus induction and regeneration after that (indirect regeneration) on three media, containing different levels of BAP and 0.2 mgl-1 NAA plus 0.4 mgl-1 IAA. The rest media without antibiotic had no visible of callus production and showed low rate of regeneration.

Shoot production was observed within 2-3 weeks after explant culture. Most of the explants on media containing



**Figure 1.** Fennel tissue culture, A) Preparation of explants. B) Embryo explant of fennel in the absence of cefotaximein 4.0 mgl<sup>-1</sup>IAA and 0.2 mgl<sup>-1</sup>NAA C. Embryo explant of fennel in the presence of cefotaxime (100 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) and 1.0 mg l<sup>-1</sup> BAP. D) Shoot elongation without any subculture in the presence of cefotaxime (100 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) and 1.0 mg l<sup>-1</sup> BAP. E) Root elongation of callus in fennel in the presence of cefotaxime (100 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) in the same media with no sub-culturing. F) Regenerated plants in perlite in growth chamber for hardening

cefotaxime, initiated callus and finally produced shoots (Table 2). Results showed that the combination of NAA and IAA had a significant effect on plant regeneration and BAP had an obvious effect on proliferation. In most of the media containing 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> cefotaxime, callus growth and shoot regeneration were obtained, however media free of cefotaxime showed low rate of regeneration and proliferation.

**Table 1.** Analysis of variance for the effect of different PGRs,

 Cefotaxime concentration and genotypes on direct regeneration

 and proliferation of fennel embryo culture

| 0                     | 55 | Mean Square           |               |  |  |
|-----------------------|----|-----------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Source                | DF | Indirect regeneration | Proliferation |  |  |
| V                     | 2  | 2.8                   | 15.24         |  |  |
| BAP                   | 2  | 1.5                   | 38.65**       |  |  |
| V*BAP                 | 4  | 11.4**                | 2.28          |  |  |
| NAA                   | 1  | 304.4**               | 7.66          |  |  |
| V*NAA                 | 2  | 9.29*                 | 4.14          |  |  |
| BAP*NAA               | 2  | 30.29**               | 6.93          |  |  |
| V*BAP*NAA             | 4  | 12.7**                | 7.62          |  |  |
| IAA                   | 1  | 165**                 | 1.92          |  |  |
| V*IAA                 | 2  | 7.86*                 | 30.72**       |  |  |
| BAP*IAA               | 2  | 11.62**               | 65.89**       |  |  |
| V*BAP*IAA             | 4  | 19.12**               | 4.45          |  |  |
| NAA*IAA               | 1  | 79.9**                | 4.49          |  |  |
| V*NAA*IAA             | 2  | 1.26                  | 1.58          |  |  |
| BAP*NAA*IAA           | 2  | 22.49**               | 4.22          |  |  |
| V*BAP*NAA*IAA         | 4  | 6.63*                 | 13.99         |  |  |
| Cef                   | 1  | 909.7**               | 579.14**      |  |  |
| V*Cef                 | 2  | 6.49*                 | 39.59**       |  |  |
| BAP*Cef               | 2  | 2.8                   | 23.63*        |  |  |
| V*BAP*Cef             | 4  | 17.3**                | 2.65          |  |  |
| NAA*Cef               | 1  | 53.5**                | 1.91          |  |  |
| V*NAA*Cef             | 2  | 18.4**                | 1.43          |  |  |
| BAP*NAA*Cef           | 2  | 26.8**                | 18.64*        |  |  |
| V*BAP*NAA*Cef         | 4  | 9.61**                | 8.72          |  |  |
| IAA*Cef               | 1  | 225.1**               | 34.43*        |  |  |
| V*IAA*Cef             | 2  | 1.2                   | 6.06          |  |  |
| BAP*IAA*Cef           | 2  | 4.5                   | 43.8**        |  |  |
| V*BAP*IAA*Cef         | 4  | 10.5**                | 1.72          |  |  |
| NAA*IAA*Cef           | 1  | 250.2**               | 4.12          |  |  |
| V*NAA*IAA*Cef         | 2  | 5.8                   | 1.82          |  |  |
| BAP*NAA*IAA*Cef       | 2  | 12**                  | 7.44          |  |  |
| V*<br>BAP*NAA*IAA*Cef | 4  | 3.2                   | 10.17         |  |  |

| Genotypes    | Cefotaxim<br>(mg/L) | BAP<br>(mg/L) | NAA<br>(mg/L) | IAA<br>(mg/L) | PIR <sup>a</sup> ±SE     | PP <sup>b</sup> ±SE      |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|              | 0                   | 0             | 0.2           | 0.4           | 85.71±14.28              | 26.66±6.66 ab            |
|              | 0                   | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0.4           | 94.44±5.55 <sup>a</sup>  | 31.06±14.39 <sup>b</sup> |
|              | 0                   | 1             | 0.2           | 0.4           | 100±0 <sup>a</sup>       | 6.25±6.25 <sup>b</sup>   |
|              | 0                   | 0             | 0             | 0             | 0 <sup>c</sup>           | 0 °                      |
|              | 0                   | 0             | 0             | 0.4           | 0 c                      | 0 °                      |
|              | 0                   | 0             | 0.2           | 0             | 0 c                      | 0 °                      |
|              | 0                   | 0.5           | 0             | 0             | 0 c                      | 0 c                      |
|              | 0                   | 0.5           | 0             | 0.4           | 0 c                      | 0 °                      |
|              | 0                   | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0             | 0 c                      | 0 °                      |
|              | 0                   | 1             | 0             | 0             | 0 c                      | 0 c                      |
|              | 0                   | 1             | 0             | 0.4           | 50±50 <sup>b</sup>       | 50±50 <sup>a</sup>       |
| Fasa         | 0                   | 1             | 0.2           | 0             | 0°                       | 0 c                      |
|              | 100                 | 0             | 0.2           | 0.4           | 100±0 <sup>a</sup>       | 0 °                      |
|              | 100                 | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0.4           | 92.85±7.14 <sup>a</sup>  | 25±25 <sup>b</sup>       |
|              | 100                 | 1             | 0.2           | 0.4           | 77.5±2.5 <sup>a</sup>    | 75±25 <sup>a</sup>       |
|              | 100                 | 0             | 0             | 0             | 100±0 <sup>a</sup>       | 50±50 <sup>b</sup>       |
|              | 100                 | 0             | 0             | 0.4           | 0                        | 0 °                      |
|              | 100                 | 0             | 0.2           | 0             | 100±0 <sup>a</sup>       | 25±25 <sup>b</sup>       |
|              | 100                 | 0.5           | 0             | 0             | $50\pm50^{b}$            | 14.28±14.28 <sup>b</sup> |
|              | 100                 | 0.5           | 0             | 0.4           | 100±0 a                  | 50±0 <sup>b</sup>        |
|              | 100                 | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0             | 66.66±16.66 <sup>a</sup> | $10\pm10^{b}$            |
|              | 100                 | 1             | 0             | 0             | 0                        | 0°                       |
|              | 100                 | 1             | 0             | 0.4           | 80 15+8 7 ª              | 62.5+37.5ª               |
|              | 100                 | 1             | 0.2           | 0             | 75±25ª                   | 16.66±16.66 <sup>b</sup> |
|              | 0                   | 0             | 0.2           | 0.4           | 100+0 a                  | 0°                       |
|              | 0                   | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0.4           | 83 33+16 66 ª            | 0 c                      |
|              | 0                   | 1             | 0.2           | 0.4           | 100+0 ª                  | 0 c                      |
|              | 0                   | 0             | 0.2           | 0             | 0°                       | 0 c                      |
|              | 0                   | 0             | 0             | 04            | 0°                       | 0 °                      |
|              | 0                   | 0             | 0.2           | 0             | 0°                       | 0 °                      |
|              | 0                   | 0.5           | 0             | 0             | 0°                       | 0 c                      |
|              | 0                   | 0.5           | 0             | 0.4           | 0°                       | 0 c                      |
|              | 0                   | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0.4           | 0°                       | 0 c                      |
|              | 0                   | 1             | 0.2           | 0             | 0 °                      | 0 °                      |
|              | 0                   | 1             | 0             | 0.4           | 0 °                      | 0 c                      |
| Mochkinchahr | 0                   | 1             | 02            | 0.4           | 0 6                      | 0 °                      |
| Meshkinshahr | 100                 | 1             | 0.2           | 0.4           | 100+0 8                  | 0 °                      |
|              | 100                 | 0             | 0.2           | 0.4           | 100±0 -                  | <b>82 22+16 66 a</b>     |
|              | 100                 | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0.4           | 91 04 × 6 04 a           | $77.08 \pm 10.41.3$      |
|              | 100                 | 1             | 0.2           | 0.4           | 81.94±0.94 "             | 77.08±10.41 °            |
|              | 100                 | 0             | 0             | 0             | 100±0 ª                  | 75±25 °                  |
|              | 100                 | 0             | 0             | 0.4           | 100±0 °                  | U~<br>100±03             |
|              | 100                 | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0             | 100±0 °                  | 100±0"                   |
|              | 100                 | 0.5           | 0             | 0             | $100\pm0^{a}$            | $\delta U \pm 2 U^{a}$   |
|              | 100                 | 0.5           | 0             | 0.4           | 90±10 <sup>a</sup>       | $41.00\pm8.330^{\circ}$  |
|              | 100                 | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0             | 100 a                    | 39.28±10.71°             |
|              | 100                 | 1             | 0             | 0             | 50±50°                   | 50±500 °                 |
|              | 100                 | 1             | 0             | 0.4           | 85.71±14.28 a            | 51.66±31.66 <sup>b</sup> |
|              | 100                 | 1             | 0.2           | 0             | 100±0 <sup>a</sup>       | 75±250 a                 |

 Table 2. The effect of cefotaxime and PGRs on callus production and shoot regeneration in three different genotypes (continued)

#### Table 2. (Continued)

| Genotypes | Cefotaxim<br>(mg/L) | BAP<br>(mg/L) | NAA<br>(mg/L) | IAA<br>(mg/L) | PIR <sup>a</sup> ±SE     | PP <sup>b</sup> ±SE      |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|           | 0                   | 0             | 0.2           | 0.4           | 100±0 <sup>a</sup>       | 0 °                      |
|           | 0                   | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0.4           | 81.74±3.96 <sup>a</sup>  | 0 c                      |
|           | 0                   | 1             | 0.2           | 0.4           | 65±15 <sup>ab</sup>      | 0 c                      |
|           | 0                   | 0             | 0             | 0             | 0 <sup>c</sup>           | 0 c                      |
|           | 0                   | 0             | 0             | 0.4           | 0 <sup>c</sup>           | 0 c                      |
|           | 0                   | 0             | 0.2           | 0             | $0^{c}$                  | 0 c                      |
|           | 0                   | 0.5           | 0             | 0             | $0^{c}$                  | 0 c                      |
|           | 0                   | 0.5           | 0             | 0.4           | 0 <sup>c</sup>           | 0 c                      |
|           | 0                   | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0             | 0 <sup>c</sup>           | 0 c                      |
|           | 0                   | 1             | 0             | 0             | 0c                       | 0 c                      |
|           | 0                   | 1             | 0             | 0.4           | 0 <sup>c</sup>           | 0 °                      |
| Haiiabad  | 0                   | 1             | 0.2           | 0             | 0 <sup>c</sup>           | 0 c                      |
|           | 100                 | 0             | 0.2           | 0.4           | 100 <sup>a</sup>         | 0 c                      |
|           | 100                 | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0.4           | 62.5±12.5 ab             | 35.71±35.71 <sup>b</sup> |
|           | 100                 | 1             | 0.2           | 0.4           | $50\pm0^{ab}$            | 75±25 <sup>a</sup>       |
|           | 100                 | 0             | 0             | 0             | 0 c                      | 0°                       |
|           | 100                 | 0             | 0             | 0.4           | 0 c                      | 0 c                      |
|           | 100                 | 0             | 0.2           | 0             | 100±0 <sup>a</sup>       | 81.25±18.75 <sup>a</sup> |
|           | 100                 | 0.5           | 0             | 0             | 100±0 <sup>a</sup>       | 90±10 <sup>a</sup>       |
|           | 100                 | 0.5           | 0             | 0.4           | 100±0 <sup>a</sup>       | 82.85±2.85 <sup>a</sup>  |
|           | 100                 | 0.5           | 0.2           | 0             | 100±0 <sup>a</sup>       | 50±50 <sup>ab</sup>      |
|           | 100                 | 1             | 0             | 0             | 83.33±16.66 a            | 66.6±16.66 <sup>ab</sup> |
|           | 100                 | 1             | 0             | 0.4           | 85.71±14.28 <sup>a</sup> | 30±30 <sup>b</sup>       |
|           | 100                 | 1             | 0.2           | 0             | 100±0 <sup>a</sup>       | 16.66±16.66 <sup>b</sup> |

Assessment the effects of cefotaxime on the regeneration of fennel embryo showed that all media containing cefotaxime have convincing proliferation, however, the best medium. with an average of 200 regenerated shoots, was related to 1.0 mg l<sup>-1</sup> BAP and 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> cefotaxime (Fig. 1D).

Cefotaxime was most effective when it is used in combination with other factors i.e. hormones, and has a positive effect on direct regeneration and proliferation (Table 1). In general, the average number of regenerated shoot per explant were 35 and 10, consequently in the presence and absence of cefotaxime, this was indicated their significant differences (Fig. 1C, 1B).

In media with or without cefotaxime, there was no significant difference in the rooting frequencies of regenerated shoots from embryo explants (Fig. 1E). In all conditions, thick-white and sometimes divergent root was observed. Furthermore, no albino shoots or undesirable phenotypes were detected in our research. Shoot elongation and rooting were successfully achieved for all of studied media with no sub-culturing.

#### DISCUSSION

The results demonstrated that in the absence of cefotaxime, regeneration and proliferation mostly can be take placed in media containing auxins (NAA, IAA) and the presence of antibiotic, had an important role in callus growth, shoot proliferation. The combination of 1.0 mg l<sup>-1</sup> BAP and 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> cefotaxime was the best medium for proliferation (Table 1 and Fig. 1C, 1D).

Applying of some superior fennel ecotypes from a breeding program, choosing seed embryo as a young and fresh explant with proliferation potential, and using combination of hormones with cefotaxime are innovation for this study. Our results revealed that in fennel tissue culture, IAA with NAA, BAP and Cefotaxime are mostly important for callus induction, shoot proliferation and both traits consequently. High throughput proliferation in a short period and no need to sub culturing are important in micro-propagation and genetic manipulation [13].

The results of the present investigation showed that a suitable combination of auxins and cefotaxime is

important for organogenesis of fennel from embryo explants. In the absence of cefotaxime, auxins had the most important role on callus induction and it seems there is no interaction between cytokinin and organogenesis. In other words, in the absence of cefotaxime, callus production and organogenesis were observed in all concentrations of BAP with 0.4 mgl<sup>-1</sup> IAA and 0.2 mg l<sup>-1</sup> NAA. Martin (2004) reported that Auxins or its combination with cytokinins can induce organogenesis [27]. However, Manoir et al. (1985) found that the best combinations of auxins and cytokinin can lead to rapid clonal propagation in bitter and sweet fennels [12]. Anzidei et al. (2000) claimed that equal ratio of auxin and kinetin extremely stimulated shoot regeneration [4]. Several studies have also revealed that maximum shoot regeneration was obtained in the presence of high concentration of cytokinin and low auxin [3, 49]. According to previous studies, auxin hormones like NAA and IAA had positive effect on callus induction, somatic embryogenesis and proliferation [14, 31, 46, 50].

Results also indicate that in the presence of cefotaxime, in most of the media, callus production, organogenesis and proliferation were observed. Recently, in vitro studies have shown that cefotaxime had profound effect on callus growth, embryogenesis or plant regeneration in wheat [10, 48], pearl millet [17], barley [28], Mentha piperita [41], Solanum chacoense [38], Brassica napus [2], sweet sorghum [10], Centella asiatica [36], and maize [11]. The best medium for proliferation was recognized the one containing 1 mg l-1 BAP and 100 mg l-1 cefotaxime, which was auxin-free. The most striking result to emerge from this data is that cefotaxime can play the role of plant growth regulator, such as auxin. According to previous studies on cefotaxime, it is realized that this antibiotic is likely to mimic plant growth regulators, especially auxinlike activity [9, 11, 36, 38].

In this investigation, rooting of shoots happened in all conditions (whether in the presence of both auxin and cytokinin or cefotaxime). Although root formation in fennel would happen in the media containing auxin, it would be extremely prevented in the presence of cytokinin [12]. This can be explained by the species and type of explant. Embryo explants have a high capacity for regeneration than other parts of the plant. This proves that for each species and explant, optimum combinations of specific hormones have to be identified. Tawfik and Noga (2002) reported that different explants show different responses to combinations of PGRs for callus induction and plant regeneration [44]. In this study, genotypes had different response to proliferation on media supplemented with 100 mg  $1^{-1}$  cefotaxime. Anzidei et al. (2000) found that the main effective factors for organogenesis were genotype and PGRs. Consequently, numerous studies showed that genotypic differences had great effect on plant regeneration [6, 8]. Due to various effects of cefotaxime on different species.

# CONCLUSION

In this study we found that cefotaxime can have positive effect on callus production, shoot and root regeneration in fennel. Also, cutting part of embryo explant (cotyledon) and optimum concentration of PGRs and cefotaxime, can increase the efficiency of tissue culture.

In conclusion, we have introduced an effective protocol for fennel tissue culture from embryo explants that can be used in fennel embryo culture for breeding approaches, particle bombardment, and agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was done by the support of a grant number 27917-1 that was honored by Dr. Ali Izadi- Darbandi from university of Tehran and the Deputy of Science and Technology of Iranian Presidency. The authors are thankful from this support.

# REFERENCES

- Afify, A.E.-M.M.R., El-Beltagi, H.S., Hammama, A.A.E.-A., Sidky, M.M., and Mostafa, O.F.A. 2011. Distribution of trans-anethole and estragole in fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill) of callus induced from different seedling parts and fruits. Notulae Scientia Biologicae, 3(1): 79.
- [2] Ahmadi, B., Shariatpanahi, M.E., Ojaghkandi, M.A., and Heydari, A.A. 2014. Improved microspore embryogenesis induction and plantlet regeneration using putrescine, cefotaxime and vancomycin in Brassica napus L. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC), 118(3): 497-505.
- [3] Andrade, L., Echeverrigaray, S., Fracaro, F., Pauletti, G., and Rota, L. 1999. The effect of growth regulators on shoot propagation and rooting of common lavender (*Lavandula vera* DC). Plant cell, tissue and organ culture, 56(2): 79-83.
- [4] Anzidei, M., Bennici, A., Schiff, S., Tani, C., and Mori, B.
   2000. Organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis in Foeniculum vulgare: histological observations of

developing embryogenic callus. Plant cell, tissue and organ culture, 61(1): 69-79.

- [5] Bahmani, K., Izadi-Darbandi, A., Jafari, A.A., Noori, S.A.S., and Farajpour, M. 2012. Assessment of genetic diversity in Iranian fennels using ISSR markers. Journal of Agricultural Science, 4(9): 79.
- [6] Bailey, M., Boerma, H., and Parrott, W. 1993. Genotype effects on proliferative embryogenesis and plant regeneration of soybean. In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology-Plant, 29(3): 102-108.
- [7] Bennici, A., Anzidei, M., and Vendramin, G.G. 2004. Genetic stability and uniformity of Foeniculum vulgare Mill. regenerated plants through organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis. Plant science, 166(1): 221-227.
- [8] Bhatia, P., Ashwath, N., Senaratna, T., and Midmore, D. 2004. Tissue culture studies of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum). Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture, 78(1): 1-21.
- [9] Bhau, B. and Wakhlu, A. 2001. Effect of some antibiotics on the in vitro morphogenetic response from callus cultures of Coryphantha elephantidens. Biologia Plantarum, 44(1): 19-24.
- [10] Borrelli, G., Di Fonzo, N., and Lupotto, E. 1992. Effect of cefotaxime on callus culture and plant regeneration in durum wheat. Journal of plant physiology, 140(3): 372-374.
- [11] Danilova, S. and Dolgikh, Y.I. 2004. The stimulatory effect of the antibiotic cefotaxime on plant regeneration in maize tissue culture. Russian Journal of Plant Physiology, 51(4): 559-562.
- [12] Du Manoir, J., Desmarest, P., and Saussay, R. 1985. In vitro propagation of fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Miller). Scientia horticulturae, 27(1-2): 15-19.
- [13] Ebrahimie, E., Habashi, A., Ghareyazie, B., Ghannadha, M., and Mohammadie, M. 2003. A rapid and efficient method for regeneration of plantlets from embryo explants of cumin (Cuminum cyminum). Plant cell, tissue and organ culture, 75(1): 19-25.
- [14] Filippov, M., Miroshnichenko, D., Vernikovskaya, D., and Dolgov, S. 2006. The effect of auxins, time exposure to auxin and genotypes on somatic embryogenesis from mature embryos of wheat. Plant cell, tissue and organ culture, 84(2): 100192-100201.
- [15] Fiore, M.C., Carimi, F., Carra, A., and Sunseri, F. 2012. Efficient plant regeneration via somatic embryogenesis in bulbing fennel using immature flower explants. In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology-Plant, 48(5): 440-445.
- [16] Gamborg, O.L.c., Miller, R.A., and Ojima, K. 1968. Nutrient requirements of suspension cultures of soybean root cells. Experimental cell research, 50(1): 151-158.

- [17] George, L., Eapen, S., and Rao, P. 1993. Enhanced plant regeneration in pearl millet (Pennisetum americanum) by ethylene inhibitors and cefotaxime. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture, 32(1): 91-96.
- [18] Graifenberg, A., Botrini, L., Giustiniani, L., and Di Paola, M.L. 1996. Salinity affects growth, yield and elemental concentration of fennel. HortScience, 31(7): 1131-1134.
- [19] Grzebelus, E. and Skop, L. 2014. Effect of β-lactam antibiotics on plant regeneration in carrot protoplast cultures. In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology-Plant, 50(5): 568-575.
- [20] Holford, P. and Newbury, H. 1992. The effects of antibiotics and their breakdown products on the in vitro growth of Antirrhinum majus. Plant Cell Reports, 11(2): 93-96.
- [21] Hunault, G. 1984. In vitro culture of fennel tissues (Foeniculum vulgare Miller) from cell suspension to mature plant. Scientia horticulturae, 22(1-2): 55-65.
- [22] Hunault, G., Desmarest, P., and Du Manoir, J. 1989. Foeniculum vulgare Miller: Cell culture, regeneration, and the production of anethole, in *Medicinal and Aromatic Plants II.* Springer. p. 185-212.
- [23] Hunault, G. and Du Manoir, J. 1992. Micropropagation of fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Miller), in *High-Tech and Micropropagation III*. Springer. p. 199-217.
- [24] Hunault, G. and Maatar, A. 1995. Enhancement of somatic embryogenesis frequency by gibberellic acid in fennel. Plant cell, tissue and organ culture, 41(2): 171-176.
- [25] Mahfouz, S. and Sharaf-Eldin, M. 2007. Effect of mineral vs. biofertilizer on growth, yield, and essential oil content of fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill.). International Agrophysics, 21(4): 361.
- [26] Makunga, N.P., Jäger, A.K., and van Staden, J. 2005. An improved system for the in vitro regeneration of Thapsia garganica via direct organogenesis–influence of auxins and cytokinins. Plant cell, tissue and organ culture, 82(3): 271-280.
- [27] Martin, K. 2004. Efficacy of different growth regulators at different stages of somatic embryogenesis in Eryngium foetidum L.–a rare medicinal plant. In Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology-Plant, 40(5): 459-463.
- [28] Mathias, R. and Mukasa, C. 1987. The effect of cefotaxime on the growth and regeneration of callus from four varieties of barley (Hordeum vulgare L.). Plant Cell Reports, 6(6): 454-457.
- [29] Mittal, P., Gosal, S.S., Senger, A., and Kumar, P. 2009. Impact of cefotaxime on somatic embryogenesis and shoot regeneration in sugarcane. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants, 15(3): 257-265.

- [30] Mohamed, M.A. and Abdu, M. 2004. Growth and oil production of fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill): effect of irrigation and organic fertilization. Biological agriculture & horticulture, 22(1): 31-39.
- [31] Naing, A.H., Kim, C.K., Yun, B.J., Jin, J.Y., and Lim, K.B. 2013. Primary and secondary somatic embryogenesis in Chrysanthemum cv. Euro. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC), 112(3): 361-368.
- [32] Nakano, M. and Mii, M. 1993. Antibiotics stimulate somatic embryogenesis without plant growth in several Dianthus cultivars. Journal of plant physiology, 141(6): 721-725.
- [33] Nalawade, S.M. and Tsay, H.-S. 2004. In vitro propagation of some important Chinese medicinal plants and their sustainable usage. In Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology-Plant, 40(2): 143-154.
- [34] Nauerby, B., Billing, K., and Wyndaele, R. 1997. Influence of the antibiotic timentin on plant regeneration compared to carbenicillin and cefotaxime in concentrations suitable for elimination of Agrobacterium tumefaciens. Plant Science, 123(1-2): 169-177.
- [35] Olle, M., Bender, I., and Koppe, R. 2010. The content of oils in umbelliferous crops and its formation. Agronomy Research, 8(3): 687-696.
- [36] Panathula, C.S., Mahadev, M.D.N., and Naidu, C.V. 2014. The stimulatory effects of the antimicrobial agents bavistin, cefotaxime and kanamycin on in vitro plant regeneration of Centella asiatica (L.)—an important antijaundice medicinal plant. American Journal of Plant Sciences, 5(03): 279.
- [37] Pandey, S., Mishra, A., Patel, M.K., and Jha, B. 2013. An efficient method for Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation and plant regeneration in cumin (*Cuminum cyminum* L.). Applied biochemistry and biotechnology, 171(1): 1-9.
- [38] Rakosy-Tican, E., Aurori, C., and Aurori, A. 2011. The effects of cefotaxime and silver thiosulphate on in vitro culture of Solanum chacoense. Romanian Biotechnological Letters, 16(4): 6369-6377.
- [39] Sarma, K., Evans, N.E., and Selby, C. 1995. Effect of carbenicillin and cefotaxime on somatic embryogenesis of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr.). Journal of Experimental Botany, 46(11): 1779-1781.
- [40] Silva, J.d. and Fukai, S. 2001. The impact of carbenicillin, cefotaxime and vancomycin on chrysanthemum and tobacco TCL morphogenesis and Agrobacterium growth. J. Appl. Hort, 3(1): 3-12.
- [41] Sujana, P. and Naidu, C. 2011. Influence of Bavistin, Cefotaxime, Kanamycin and Silver Thiosulphate on Plant Regeneration of Mentha piperita (L.) –An Important Multipurpose Medicinal Plant. Journal of Phytology, 3(5).

- [42] Tang, H., Ren, Z., and Krczal, G. 2000. An evaluation of antibiotics for the elimination of Agrobacterium tumefaciens from walnut somatic embryos and for the effects on the proliferation of somatic embryos and regeneration of transgenic plants. Plant Cell Reports, 19(9): 881-887.
- [43] Tawfik, A.A. and Noga, G. 2001. Adventitious shoot proliferation from hypocotyl and internodal stem explants of cumin. Plant cell, tissue and organ culture, 66(2): 141-147.
- [44] Tawfik, A.A. and Noga, G. 2002. Cumin regeneration from seedling derived embryogenic callus in response to amended kinetin. Plant cell, tissue and organ culture, 69(1): 35-40.
- [45] Telci, I., Demirtas, I., and Sahin, A. 2009. Variation in plant properties and essential oil composition of sweet fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) fruits during stages of maturity. Industrial Crops and Products, 30(1): 126-130.
- [46] Vlizadeh, M., Safarnejad, A., Nematzadeh, G., and Kazemitabar, S. 2006. Regeneration of plantlets from embryo explants of Bunium persicum (Boiss.) B. Fedtsch. Indian J. Crop Science, 1(1-2): 93-96.
- [47] Wakhlu, A. and Sharma, R. 1999. Micropropagation of Heracleum candicans Wall: a rare medicinal herb. In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology-Plant, 35(1): 79-81.
- [48] Yu, Y. and Wei, Z.-M. 2008. Influences of cefotaxime and carbenicillin on plant regeneration from wheat mature embryos. Biologia plantarum, 52(3): 553-556.
- [49] Zhang, F.-L., Takahata, Y., and Xu, J.-B. 1998. Medium and genotype factors influencing shoot regeneration from cotyledonary explants of Chinese cabbage (*Brassica campestris* L. ssp. pekinensis). Plant Cell Reports, 17(10): 780-786.
- [50] Zhang, W.-J., Dong, J.-L., Liang, B.-G., Jin, Y.-S., and Wang, T. 2006. Highly efficient embryogenesis and plant regeneration of tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea* Schreb.) from mature seed-derived calli. In Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology-Plant, 42(2): 114-118.

# باززایی سریع و با کارایی بالا در رازیانه از جداکشت جنین

# شیوا شاهی'، علی ایزدی دربندی'\*، حسین رامشینی'، مهدی یونسی حمزهخانلو'

<sup>۱</sup>گروه زراعت و اصلاح نباتات ابوریحان، دانشگاه تهران، تهران، ایران ۲دانشکده کشاورزی و منابع طبیعی اهر، دانشگاه تبریز، اهر، ایران

\* نویسنده مسئول: aizady@ut.ac.ir

# چکیدہ

به منظور دستیابی به کالوس زایی و چند شاخه زایی در رازیانه، اثرات مختلف آنتی بیوتیک سفاتوکسیم و هورمونهای رشد بر روی جنین آن مورد آزمایش قرار گرفت. این آزمایش در قالب طرح فاکتوریل کاملا تصادفی انجام شد.در این آزمایش از ۳ ژنوتیپ فسا، مشکین شهر و حاجی آباد با دو غلظت و ۱۰۰ میلی گرم بر لیتر آنتی بیوتیک سفاتوکسیم، غلظتهای و ۲/۰ میلی گرم بر لیتر NAA و ۲/۰ میلی گرم بر لیتر AAI و ۰۰ ۵/۰ و ۱ میلی گرم بر لیتر آفتی بیوتیک سفاتوکسیم، غلظتهای و ۲/۰ میلی گرم بر لیتر م ۳ روز و بدون انجام واکشت در همان محیط اولیه مشاهده شد. بالاترین میزان چندشاخه زایی در محیط حاوی ۱۰۰ میلی گرم بر لیتر آنتی بیوتیک و ۱ میلی گرم بر لیتر BAP مشاهده گردید. بطور کلی بالاترین میزان چندشاخه زایی و چند شاخهزایی در حضور ۱۰۰ میلی گرم بر لیتر آنتی بیوتیک و ۱ میلی گرم بر لیتر گرفتن سایر هورمونها مشاهده گردید که این خود نشان دهنده فعالیت شبه اکسینی آنتی بیوتیک سفاتوکسیم بدون نظر گرفتن سایر هورمونها مشاهده گردید که این خود نشان دهنده فعالیت شبه اکسینی

**کلید واژه**: رازیانه، آنتی بیوتیک سفوتاکسیم، هورمونهای رشد، جنین، چندشاخهزایی